

JNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC ITANAGAR

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Vide Case No. Appeal-1082/2023.

BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI KHOPEY THALEY, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Shri Nabam Sonu.....

Appellant

Versus

PIO-cum- EE(PHE &WS), Raga Division, Kamle Distt.

Respondent

Date of hearing

29/01/2025

Date of decision/Judgment:

29/01/2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER: Shri Khopey Thaley

Relevant facts emerging from Appeal:

RTI application file on

02/05/2023

PIO replied on

First appeal file on

12/10/2023

First Appellate Authority's order:

2nd Appeal dated

17/11/2023

Information sought:

The appellant file an RTI Application dated 02/05/2023 seeking Details regarding Jaal Jeevan Mission Scheme of Tender and non-Tender copy from Package-22 August for Water Supply at Gaa.

As per the case record, PIO has never conducted the hearing under his jurisdiction.

Being dissatisfied, the appellant filed First Appeal dated 12/10/2023. No hearing has been conducted by the First Appellate in this regard. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied, appellant approached the Commission with instant Second Appeal.

The following were present.

Appellant

Shri Nabam Sonu present during the hearing

Respondent:

PIO-cum-EE(PHE & WS) is represented by Er. Melo Kadu, JE.

State Information Commission Itanagar.

JUDGEMENT ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Nabam Sonu 02.05.2023 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (PHE & WS), Raga Division, Kamle District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.10.2023, Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 17.11.2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC No. 1082/2023 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for first time i.e on 29/01/2025. In this hearing of the appeal on 29th day of January, 2025, the appellant present and the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (PHE & WS), Raga Division, Kamle District is represented by Er. Melo Kadu, JE. The appellant is directed to file before the F.A.A for the information under Section 6 of RTI Act which he is seeking. The FAA-cum-Chief Engineer (PHE & WS), Itanagar, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh and PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (PHE & WS), Raga Division, Kamle District, Arunachal Pradesh is directed to take up case and dispose as per Section-7 of RTI Act, 2005 within 30 days on receipt of the request.

Under Section 19(1) of the Act, the First Appellate Authority (FAA), the intermediate level, has to adjudicate on the Appeal, if any, filed by the information seekers against the decision of the PIO.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GOI and the State Govt., adjudication on the appeals under the RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

The First Appellate Authority (FAA), following the principle of natural justice, should conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter must pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within 30 days from the date of receipt of the appeal or else the action of the FAA would be considered as procedural lapse on the part of the FAA.

Further, it is noticed that the Appellant in most case do not wait for the orders of the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and directly prefer appeals before the 2nd Appellate Authority without attaching a copy of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA) unintelligently.

Here, it is germane to note that for availing 2nd appeal before the 2nd Appellate Authority, the Appellant has been given 90 days' time from the date of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The 2nd appeal, if he/she is dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), must be accompanied by the orders passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

The appeal is accordingly remand back to the First Appellate Authority for adjudication and passing an appropriate order who, being the officer senior I n rank to the PIO and well versed with the knowledge of the functioning of the department, shall apply his mind and go into the aspects like what kind of information was sought by appellant in his application, whether the same and could be provided or whether the same is exempted under the relevant provisions of section 8 of the Act or whether the information relates to matter covered by Section 11 of the RTI Act etc. and then pass a speaking order giving justification for his decision within 3 (three) weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Therefore, perusing the case records, the Commission deemed fit to remand back he appeal case APIC No. 1082/2023 to First Appellate Authority for proper hearing. The case is disposed off with liberty to appellant to prefer second appeal if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the decision of the First Appellate Authority for which no fees need be paid.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 29th day of January, 2025. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 29th day of January, 2025.

(Khopey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.
Dated Itanagar, the .3.1... January, 2025.

Memo.No.APIC-1082/2023/ 270 Copy to:

 The FAA-cum- Chief Engineer (PHE & WS), Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar to summon both the parties and hear the appeal and dispose off the case within 30 days on receipt of this order.

2. the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (PHE & WS), Raga Division, Kamle District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action.

 Shri Nabam Sonu, Lekhi Village, PO/PS, Naharlagun, Papum Pare District for information and necessary action. Contact No. 9402627443

The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.

4. Office Copy.

(Khopey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.
State Information Commission

tanadar.